

High speed stereo-vision study of laminated glass fragmentation upon impact

Corentin Le Gourriérec, Xuyang Chang, Bastien Durand, Richard Villey,

Benoît Voillot, Xavier Brajer, Stéphane Roux

▶ To cite this version:

Corentin Le Gourriérec, Xuyang Chang, Bastien Durand, Richard Villey, Benoît Voillot, et al.. High speed stereo-vision study of laminated glass fragmentation upon impact. Glass Structures & Engineering, 2023, 8, pp.423-442. 10.1007/s40940-023-00231-2. hal-04178868

HAL Id: hal-04178868 https://ens.hal.science/hal-04178868v1

Submitted on 8 Aug 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

High speed stereo-vision study of laminated glass fragmentation upon impact

Corentin LE GOURRIÉREC^{a,b,*}, Xuyang CHANG^a, Bastien DURAND^a, Richard VILLEY^c, Benoît VOILLOT^b, Xavier BRAJER^b, Stéphane ROUX^a

^aUniversité Paris-Saclay, CentraleSupélec, ENS Paris-Saclay, CNRS, LMPS -Laboratoire de Mécanique Paris-Saclay, 4 Avenue des Sciences, Gif-sur-Yvette, 91190, France

^bSaint-Gobain Research Paris, 39 Quai Lucien Lefranc, Aubervilliers, 93300, France ^cSaint-Gobain Research Compiègne, 1 rue de Montluçon, Thourotte, 60150, France

Abstract

The different stages of an impact on laminated glass are difficult to observe and quantify but are essential for numerical model validation. To assess the performance of this composite to low-velocity ($\sim 10 \text{ m/s}$) high-mass impacts, dynamical impact tests, inspired by ball-drop tests, are performed, equipped with multiple high-speed cameras. From the set of images, a continuous 3D shape reconstruction of the deformed laminated glass over time is performed using Stereo-Digital Image Correlation (S-DIC). Significant brightness and contrast variations captured by two cameras due to large rotations of glass fragments and large strain of the polymer interlayer between fragments render

- richard.villey@saint-gobain.com (Richard VILLEY),
- benoit.voillot@saint-gobain.com (Benoît VOILLOT),

Preprint submitted to Glass Structures & Engineering

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: corentin.legourrierec@saint-gobain.com (Corentin LE GOURRIÉREC), xuyang.chang@ens-paris-saclay.fr (Xuyang CHANG), bastien.durand@ens-paris-saclay.fr (Bastien DURAND),

xavier.brajer@saint-gobain.com (Xavier BRAJER),

stephane.roux@ens-paris-saclay.fr (Stéphane ROUX)

the use of S-DIC difficult as the impactor penetrates deeper into the plate. To circumvent this problem, an axisymmetric regularization is introduced which has the advantage of not requiring prior knowledge of the mechanical behavior for the tested specimen. It is shown that it offers a more extended period of time (as compared to regular S-DIC) over which a trustful analysis can be performed. The time evolution of the fragmented laminated glass and the density of cracks, both available from our analysis, are key ingredients to elaborate quantitative modeling of the dynamic performance of the laminate. *Keywords:* stereo-Digital Image Correlation, stereo-vision, laminated glass, low-velocity impact, hard-body impact.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Saint-Gobain Research, Saint-Gobain Research Compiègne and ENS Paris-Saclay for helping carrying out the *cannon* experiments. Many thanks to Maxime Da Rocha for conducting the *cannon* tests. We acknowledge interesting suggestions and discussions with René Gy, François Hild and Keyvan Piroird. This project was funded by ANRT (CIFRE 2019/0676) and Saint-Gobain.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing interests.

Authors contribution

All authors planned the experiments, the experimental strategy and discussed the results. CLG, RV, BV carried out the experiments. CLG performed the S-DIC measurements with Correli 3.0 and implemented the axisymmetric regularization. XC implemented and performed the numerical calibration of the stereo-vision system. CLG, SR, XC, BD, RV wrote the paper.

1 1. Introduction

Laminated glass, a composite made of two or more different glass sheets 2 interleaved with thin polymeric layers, is widely used for building or automo-3 tive applications. It has been engineered to meet a wide range of demanding 4 properties: transparency, stiffness, low weight, acoustic insulation, and, most 5 importantly, its ability to ensure the safety of people upon shocks or impacts 6 due to the residual resistance offered by the polymeric layers following the fracture of the glass sheets. Therefore, research topics in the resistance to im-8 pacts focus on the designing of new laminated glass plates while respecting 9 the criteria of personal protection, which remains the major characteristic 10 of such glazing. Characterizing and quantifying the mechanical response of 11 laminated glass during a low-velocity impact, especially structural degrada-12 tion and integrity is a critical issue for manufacturers of laminated glass, who 13 must validate certain norms to sell their production (European Norm 2000; 14 2003). Such impacts can be met when a pedestrian is hit by a car (Chen et al. 15 2016), when people fall from their height onto a laminated glazing (Huang 16 et al. 2023), or if hand tools are used for a burglary (Schuster et al. 2020), for 17 instance. Each of these loading cases has a velocity in the 1 to 10 m/s range. 18 During such dynamic load, just after contact, cracks are initiated and prop-19 agated in the glass plies. Thanks to a finely adjusted adhesion between glass 20 and polymer interlayer, with large stretching capacity and toughness (Martin 21 et al. 2020) - for example made of polyvinyl butyral (PVB) -, the newly-22 fragmented plate can accomodate large out-of-plane displacements via the 23 glass fragments delamination from the stretched membrane, dissipating a 24 large amount of the energy. Adhesion between the layers is also tuned – 25

regarding the polymer layer thickness – to prevent large splinters from detaching then causing injuries, and avoid projectile penetration through the
structure (Pelfrene et al. 2016).

During a typical fragmentation test with the *cannon* (Nourry 2005), the 29 half-sphere apex impacts the glass surface at that initial velocity v_0 and 30 with a normal incidence. In the first microseconds after impact, the lami-31 nate behaves elastically for the most part, while a small zone of the first ply 32 right under the impactor head is crushed under intense multiaxial loading. 33 Depending on the impact velocity and the sample strength, the first radial 34 cracks may appear from the plate center within a few microseconds to a few 35 hundreds of microseconds. As the plate bends, the radial cracks extend and 36 sometimes become more numerous, while orthoradial cracks are also formed. 37 More and more cracks are created during the whole impact duration. When 38 the fragmentation is well-advanced, the stretching (and possibly tearing out) 39 of the PVB interlayer dissipates a large amount of the impact energy as the 40 impactor stroke increases. This dissipation occurs at the PVB bridge loca-41 tions that connect all the glass fragments (Seshadri et al. 2002). The initial 42 projectile velocity plays an important role in the laminated glass behavior to 43 impact. Two failure modes can be distinguished as introduced by Li et al. (Li 44 et al. 2023): the *punching failure* which involves intense shear loading in the 45 membrane structure of a densely fragmented plate, and the *bending failure* 46 with fewer cracks formed and much more bending energy stored in the glass 47 - in compression - and in the interlayer - in tension (Li et al. 2023). 48

⁴⁹ Many experimental studies have been carried out to better understand ⁵⁰ the mechanics of laminated glass under impact. Particularly, the semi-

rigid or head-form impact on windshields is at stake: Yu et al. tested 51 different locations on windshields and analyzed the impact acceleration ver-52 sus the crack pattern evolution on the fragmented plate (Yu et al. 2017). 53 Yunqi Li et al. compared soda-lime and tempered glass performances (Li 54 et al. 2019). Dong Li et al studied the dissymmetry of the glass layers regard-55 ing impact performances (Li et al. 2023). Also, Nassr et al. introduced a link 56 between the impact energy and the size of the damage on the sample (Nassr 57 et al. 2021). Finally Angelides et al. discussed the time-temperature depen-58 dence of the interlayer yield stress (Angelides et al. 2021) and its influence on 59 the post-fractured laminated glass bending behavior (Angelides et al. 2022). 60 A large number of numerical models has been proposed to reproduce the 61 crack pattern and to simulate the impact resistance of the laminated glass 62 regarding the previous experimental results: using Rankine criterion for glass 63 breakage (Pyttel et al. 2011; Alter et al. 2017; Fernandes et al. 2021), com-64 bined with cracking and element deletion (Hála et al. 2023), or a cohesive zone 65 model between the layers (Chen et al. 2016; Shahriari and Saeidi Googarchin 66 2020). Peridynamic solution is also used to describe the crack pattern (Lai 67 et al. 2018), the PVB/glass adhesion strength (Samieian et al. 2019), and the 68 glass cracking plus interfacial debonding (Ma et al. 2022). The simulations 69 generally give plausible results that are compared to post-fractured analyses 70 of the laminated glass damage. However, it is more difficult to verify the 71 consistency of the model at different times of a test because in-situ measure-72 ments on laminated glass under impact are very challenging, notably due to 73 the structure change when cracks develop. Indeed, the relative movement of 74 the fragments during the tests is seldom studied, the randomness and very 75

fine fragmentation requiring accurate measurement in time and space. This 76 leads only to post-impact analyses of fragmented samples after rigid-body 77 blunt impacts on laminates in the literature. These above-mentioned exper-78 imental works (Yu et al. 2017; Li et al. 2019; 2023; Nassr et al. 2021) have 79 brought significant characteristics of the dynamic behavior of laminated glass 80 through a few key quantities (e.g., acceleration of the projectile, strain gauge 81 measurement on the sample, high-speed mono-vision). Yet, these – mainly 82 local – measurements do not provide a global quantitative picture of the 83 whole plate, rendering the comparisons with the numerical modeling difficult 84 and non-exhaustive. 85

The low-velocity rigid body impact on burglar-proof laminated glass as 86 described in standard EN 356 (European Norm 2000), corresponds to a series 87 of three successive 4 kg steel ball drops from a height in the range of 1.5 m to 88 9 m, on a 1 m^2 laminate. This standard aims to prevent perforation, which is 89 also important for protecting people against falls. To better apprehend this 90 test, Nourry (Nourry 2005) designed an impact-test device, named cannon, 91 that can operate on smaller laminated glass samples in a controlled and 92 quantified way. 93

In a general context, the experimental tools to characterize the impact response of either impactor or laminated glass can be classified into three major categories:

1. Direct measurements on the projectile/impactor (Yu et al. 2017; Li
et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2020; Li et al. 2023).

2. Detection of wave propagation on the glass surface via multiple strain
 gauges or accelerometers glued at different radial distances from the

¹⁰¹ impact location (Wang et al. 2020; Nassr et al. 2021).

3. Kinematic field measurements, combined with high-speed cameras capturing the *in-plane* cracking of the glass plies (Del Linz et al. 2017; Mohagheghian et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2021).

Compared to these other techniques, Stereo-Digital Image Correlation (Sut-105 ton et al. 2009) (S-DIC) is a non-contact, non-destructive, robust, and pre-106 cise optical method to access 3D shape and kinematic information (motion 107 and deformation) of large structures (Dufour et al. 2015b; Genovese and 108 Sorgente 2018). For instance, the S-DIC is used to analyze blast loading 109 experiments (Hooper et al. 2012) or bird strike experiments (Mohagheghian 110 et al. 2018) and validate associated numerical models by comparing the de-111 formed shape of the observed surface to the simulated one. Moreover, by 112 expressing S-DIC into a global Finite Element based formulation, the virtual 113 model (e.g., CAD (Dufour et al. 2015a) or Finite Element mesh (Pierré et al. 114 2017; Berny 2020; Colantonio et al. 2020)) can be flexibly deformed to fit 115 seamlessly with the real deformed 3D shape. This allows for shape charac-116 terization and kinematic field measurement of complex 3D objects, even for 117 large deformation configurations. 118

The focus of the current study is mainly experimental via analyzing a cannon test. It is proposed to measure the glass shards formation and kinematics. Direct kinematic measurements on the sample will be compared to the kinematics of the impactor. The experimental set-up and the developed axisymmetric S-DIC code will be presented. The novelty of this paper lies in the use of a DIC regularization method exploiting the axisymmetry of the test to counter the numerous and severe artifacts that can occur during the test, such as the change in brightness and contrast or the discontinuities
(*i.e.*, cracks) on the measured surface.

The paper is organized as follows: section 2 introduces the *cannon* de-128 vice and its stereo camera setup. Section 3 addresses the details of spatial-129 regularization implementation into an already existing global S-DIC code. 130 The obtained results are presented and discussed in section 4. The first 131 3 ms of an impact are analyzed, including kinematic fields and crack pattern 132 measurements, and the regularization is further discussed. Finally, section 5 133 draws some conclusions addressing, in particular, the consequences of the 134 experimental observations for a numerical model of laminated glass dynamic 135 behavior. 136

137 2. Experimental device and material

138 2.1. Experimental device

Initially developed by E. Nourry (Nourry 2005), the cannon test device 139 aims at reproducing hard impacts (especially blunt and rigid objects) on 140 laminated glass in a controlled way via a low-velocity/large-mass impact. 141 The set-up allows the impact experiments to replicate the desired conditions 142 by controlling the impactor velocity and the laminated glass sample charac-143 teristics (e.g., layers thickness, previous damage). This concept was further 144 explored through later studies, e.g., Decourcelle's (Decourcelle 2011), and re-145 sults were analyzed using tensile tests on pre-cracked laminated glass samples 146 as a model describing impactor kinetic energy dissipation via delamination 147 and tearing of the polymer ply (Elzière 2016). A key feature of this set-up 148 is that it offers the measurement of the impactor head motion via a laser 149

telemeter at the high rate of 30 kHz, from which velocity (using finite difference) and kinetic energy can be estimated assuming the impactor head to be
rigid (non-deformable).

This device allows testing different specimen thicknesses of the plies and materials to compare quantitatively their impact performances, with a good reproducibility and explore a range of impact velocity from 2 to 15 m/s.

The impacted samples are square-shaped plates of 300×300 mm²: this 156 surface is about 10 times smaller than that of ball-drop tests but corresponds 157 to the size of the damaged surface during standard EN 356 impacts. During 158 the few tens of milliseconds of an impact experiment the boundary conditions 159 — namely the fastening of the plate, the impactor geometry, and the center-160 to-edge distance — of the laminated glass plate drives the cracking of the 161 glass and, hence, the PVB stretching and tearing. Here, the fastening of 162 the glass is well-controlled and reproducible: two polished steel rings, with 163 curvature radius of 1 mm in contact with the glass — large enough to avoid 164 any sharp indentation —, and tightened by 4 screws at 10 N·m. Additionally, 165 Decourcelle (Decourcelle 2011) highlighted mechanical wave propagation on 166 the glass sample, provoking the first orthoradial cracks, are not significantly 167 dependent on the sample size (Decourcelle 2011), justifying the use of smaller 168 samples $(i.e., 300 \times 300 \text{ mm}^2)$ than in the norm EN 356 $(i.e., 1100 \times 900 \text{ mm}^2)$. 169 However it has an influence on more advanced stages of the test, where the 170 finite strain regime and large out-of-plane bending is at stake. 171

As schematized in Fig 1, a projectile is set in motion via a compressed air shot, and hits the cylindrical end of the impactor. The impactor is composed of a cylindrical body, guided in a lubricated bronze barrel, whose head is a



Fig 1: Scheme of a *cannon* experiment. The impactor and projectile are guided in the barrel. The impactor head finally hits the laminated glass with a normal impact. If the laminated glass is completely pierced, the impactor is stopped by an arrest cone in the barrel.

blunt half-sphere made of steel to replicate the contact surface of the ball-175 drop tests. The whole impactor weight is designed to be 4.3 kg. A telemeter 176 measures via laser triangulation method, the hemisphere displacement at 177 30,000 Hz, with a 3 μ m uncertainty. These high rate measurements allow 178 to evaluate axial accelerations and velocities through finite difference. The 179 impactor initial speed is $v_0 = 8.28$ m/s in the reported experiment. It is a 180 median value of the impact velocity range reached on the device, that is also 181 close to the P2A classification of the norm EN 356. In accordance with the 182 laminate design, in the present case, the velocity is set to avoid perforation 183 at the end of the impact. 184

During a typical fragmentation test with the *cannon*, the half-sphere apex impacts the glass surface at that initial velocity v_0 and with a normal incidence. In the first microseconds after impact, the laminate behaves elastically for the most part, while a small zone of the first ply right under the impactor head is crushed under intense multiaxial loading. Depending on the impact velocity and the sample strength, the first radial cracks may appear from the

plate center within a few microseconds to a few hundreds of microseconds. 191 As the plate bends, the radial cracks extend and sometimes become denser, 192 while orthoradial cracks are also formed. More and more cracks are created 193 during the whole impact duration. When the fragmentation is well-advanced, 194 the stretching (and possibly tearing out) of the PVB interlayer dissipates a 195 large amount of the impact energy as the impactor stroke increases. This 196 dissipation occurs at the PVB bridge locations that connect all the glass 197 fragments (Seshadri et al. 2002). 198

The interlayer thickness can influence the time to tearing as a competi-199 tion between delamination and stretching occurs: for high strain rates, less 200 time is given for delamination initiation leading to a very large deforma-201 tion of the interlayer that ends up tearing prematurely. Therefore, a thicker 202 interlayer may sustain higher impact regimes as the delamination is given 203 more time to initiate (Morison 2007; Hooper 2011; Angelides et al. 2019). 204 In the present experiment, the laminated glass sample is composed of two 205 4 mm thick $Planiclear^{(R)}$ soda-lime glass plies, and a 0.76 mm PVB interlayer 206 Saflex[®] RB41. The laminates are assembled in a clean room, degassed using 207 an oven and a calender adhesion fully develops in a last stage where the lam-208 inate is placed in an autoclave, where the temperature is set to about 130°C 209 and pressure to 13 bars for several hours. 210

211 2.2. Stereovision setup

²¹² Cameras, shown on Fig 2, are used to record the details of the dynamic ²¹³ fracture. The first camera (2) is a Shimadzu[®] 'HPV-X2' that captures the ²¹⁴ crack initiation at 100,000 Hz with a 400×250 pixel definition. The camera ²¹⁵ axis is coincident with the *cannon z*-axis. Two synchronized (at $\pm 20 \text{ µs}$)



Fig 2: The stereo camera setup: 1 The 400W spotlights. 2 Shimadzu[®] HPV-X2. 3 Rightview Photron[®] SA-5 (24 mm lens). 4 Left-view Photron[®] SA-5 (24 mm lens). 5 Telemeter. 6 Barrel box. 7 Laminated glass sample clamped in a circular window. 8 Impactor half-sphere.

Photron[®] 'SA-5' cameras (3 & 4) are positioned on each side of the device, 216 monitored at 30,000 Hz. All images are 8-bit encoded (*i.e.*, the gray level of 217 each pixel may vary from 0 to 255). The definition of the two lateral cameras 218 decreases as the acquisition rate increases. In this experiment setting, their 219 total definition is 640×376 pixels. Moreover, due to the very short exposure 220 time ($< 30 \,\mu s$) of the fast cameras, two 400 W spotlights are oriented towards 221 the sample to provide enough light with negligible PVB heating. Indeed, due 222 to the short exposure time it is not expected that temperature effects will 223 influence the material properties of the interlayer. 224

The incident angle between the camera axes and the *cannon* principal axis varies on each side from 30° to 45° depending on the remaining space around the large test bench. All cameras are triggered using the same photoelectric sensor that detects the projectile presence a few milliseconds before its contact with the impactor, with a 40 kHz sampling rate.



(a) Left side camera.

(b) Right side camera.

Fig 3: Initial view of the two lateral cameras. World coordinate system projected on the images, validating the calibration of the presented stereoscopic camera system.

Observing the back surface of the laminated glass at high temporal res-230 olution has two motivations: first, the measurement of surface deformation, 231 and second, the characterization of glass plies fragmentation. Unfortunately, 232 these two goals are mutually exclusive. Indeed, the kinematics of the back 233 surface can be obtained from S-DIC, provided a surface marking with a 234 speckle pattern is deposited on the back face. In turn, the formation of 235 cracks is mostly hidden due to the opaque paint presence. To circumvent 236 these difficulties, a black and white speckle pattern is applied on the back 237 surface of the laminated glass sample, covering the right-bottom part of the 238 laminated glass, providing sufficient Gray Level (GL) contrast to perform 239 S-DIC measurements (Fig 3). The left-top part is left transparent, but a 240 thin tissue paper is interleaved between the projectile and the sample, to ob-241 serve the formation of crack patterns on a uniform background (masking the 242 background scene that makes cracks more difficult to read). The interest of 243 painting only one half of the plate is to allow for an easy association between 244 the evolving cracking pattern of the laminated glass and the deformed surface 245

measured via S-DIC. Having access to these cracks evolution gives information on the time duration of the elastic deformation phase of the sample, the
formation of the radial cracks, orthoradial ones, the beginning of the tearing
phase and the perforation step for instance. The S-DIC measurements can
also be extrapolated on the non-speckled area of the plate, and therefore a
measurement of the cracks evolution via the residuals is made possible on
the reference surface. Table 1 summarizes all the extrinsic DIC parameters.

| Cameras | Photron [®] SA-5 |
|------------------------|--|
| Definition | 640×376 pixels |
| Gray Levels rendering | 8 bits |
| Lens | Nikon AF Nikkor 24mm f/2.8D |
| Aperture | f/11 |
| Field of view | 500 mm \times 300 mm |
| Image scale | $pprox 0.7 \ \mathrm{mm/px}$ (in the center) |
| Stereo-angle | right camera: 33°, left camera: 37° |
| Stand-off distance | between 70 and 80 cm $$ |
| Image acquisition rate | 30,000 Hz |
| Patterning technique | white dots over black surface |
| Pattern feature size | in the range of 3 to 10 pixels |

Table 1: DIC hardware parameters

252

A trustworthy calibration of stereo-camera system is essential to perform a 3D kinematic measurement during the dynamic testing. Therefore the calibration procedure is further explained in Appendix A.

256 3. Axisymmetric S-DIC analysis

Stereo-Digital Image Correlation (S-DIC) constitutes a technique of choice to measure, on the one hand, the plate global and time resolved deformation and, on the other hand, the crack pattern evolution, which leads to the estimation of PVB stretching at the glass plies cracks.

In the experiment, the laminated glass deformation is monitored by the 261 two lateral cameras. The out-of-plane displacement field is obtained from a 262 S-DIC analysis (using Correli 3.0 software (Leclerc et al. 2015)) during the 263 first 3 ms (*i.e.*, 90 images) of the experiment. During this period of time, 264 global S-DIC can be performed over the speckle surface: the elastomeric 265 paint remains elastic and only a few radial cracks can be observed on the 266 DIC residuals. A change in brightness takes place on the deformed surface 267 because of light reflection as the impactor penetrates into the laminate. 268

269 3.1. Global S-DIC procedure

The global S-DIC procedure and the used notations are shortly recalled thereafter and detailed in Appendix B and interested readers can refer to previous publications (Hild and Roux 2012; Dufour et al. 2015b; Berny 2020) for a more extended presentation.

The specimen kinematics is described in 3D by a displacement field $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{X})$ such that a material point \mathbf{X} in the reference configuration moves to a new position $\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{X})$. For each camera, labeled c, the projective model allows relating the 3D point to its position in the camera image plane, $s\mathbf{x}^c =$ $[\mathbf{\Pi}]^c\mathbf{X}$, and hence its movement obeys $s\mathbf{x}'^c = s(\mathbf{x}^c + \mathbf{u}^c) = [\mathbf{\Pi}]^c(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{X}))$. Grey level conservation between the reference image f^c (captured by camera c) and the deformed one g^c (from the same camera) is a basic assumption of Digital Image Correlation that leads to the determination of the kinematic as the minimization of the L2 norm of the so-called residual field

$$\rho^{c}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{X})) = \left[g^{c}(\mathbf{x}^{\prime c}) - f^{c}(\mathbf{x}^{c})\right]$$
(1)

This minimization is performed based on a parametrization of the kinematics **U**, chosen here as supported by a 3D finite element mesh, namely $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i} U_{i} \theta_{i}(\mathbf{X})$ where θ_{i} is the shape function vector associated to node *i*, and *U_i* the nodal amplitude. These nodal displacements gathered into a vector **U** are computed using a Gauss-Newton algorithm, namely progressively correcting the displacement with a correction vector $\{\delta \mathbf{U}\}$, solution of the tangent problem

$$\sum_{c=1}^{N_c} \left[\mathbf{H} \right]^c \cdot \{ \delta \mathbf{U} \} = \sum_{c=1}^{N_c} \{ \mathbf{b} \}^c$$
(2)

where the Hessian $[\mathbf{H}]^c$ and second member $\{\mathbf{b}\}^c$ are sums over all cameras c of individual contributions

$$H_{ij}^{c} = \sum_{\mathbf{X} \in \text{ROI}} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{c}}{\partial U_{i}} \cdot \nabla f^{c}(\mathbf{x}^{c}) \right] \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{c}}{\partial U_{j}} \cdot \nabla f^{c}(\mathbf{x}^{c}) \right]$$

$$b_{i}^{c} = \sum_{\mathbf{X} \in \text{ROI}} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{c}}{\partial U_{i}} \cdot \nabla f^{c}(\mathbf{x}^{c}) \right] \left[f^{c}(\mathbf{x}^{c}) - g^{c}(\mathbf{x}^{\prime c}) \right]$$
(3)

The S-DIC problem is intrinsically an ill-conditioned (if not ill-posed) problem. The use of Tikhonov regularization improves the numerical conditioning of the problem, but at the expense of diverting the solution from reality because it is a numerical 'trick' whose physical justification is illfounded. As a consequence, it may lead to artifacts when for instance large rotations occur (Colantonio et al. 2020). The ideal solution would be to help

the problem formulation using a mechanical modeling of the laminated glass 298 response. However, the fragmentation of the glass plies, the delamination 299 of the PVB and its extension involving significant geometric non-linearities 300 (large displacements and rotations) makes this route quite challenging. Alter-301 natively, the axisymmetry of the set-up is a very strong constraint which may 302 be used for regularization without any prior knowledge or fragile assumption 303 about the mechanical model of the monitored sample, even if this symme-304 try is expected to be broken locally in the long run as the laminated glass 305 is fragmented, and finally torn apart. For global S-DIC, the T3-mesh pre-



(a) Mesh on left side camera.



(b) Mesh on right side camera.

Fig 4: Initial 2D mesh projected on the 2 reference frames. All are T3 elements of 4 mm average length.

306

sented 4 mm length elements that are superimposed on the reference frames
on Fig 4. There is a total of 2366 elements and each element possesses 32
integration points, so that all gray level information on the images is considered during S-DIC calculation. S-DIC parameters are mentioned in table 2.

| DIC software | Correli 3.0 (Leclerc et al. 2015) |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Element sizes | $4 \text{ mm} (\approx 12 \text{ px})$ |
| Number of elements | 2366 |
| Shape functions | Linear (T3 elements) |
| Evaluation points (per element) | 32 |
| Displacement noise-floor | $\leq 40 \ \mu m$ |

Table 2: Global S-DIC parameters

312 3.2. Axisymmetric S-DIC

A new global axisymmetric description of the displacement field can be 313 inserted as a constraint for the minimization problem. The axisymmetric 314 based regularization proposed thereafter is a non-invasive constraint that 315 resumes the unknown displacement field (3D vector field over a 2D surface) 316 to a much simpler one (2D displacement field over a 1D radial profile). Such 317 a regularization could be done with B-splines for instance (Chapelier et al. 318 2021), but a piece-wise linear description will be adopted in the following. It 319 can be seen as a reduction of the degrees of freedom of the initial mesh nodal 320 displacements. 321

Expressed in cylindrical coordinates, the displacement between two images is a purely radial and out-of-plane displacement

$$\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{ax}}(r) = [\mathbf{U}_r(r), \ 0, \ \mathbf{U}_Z(r)]$$

The radius being directly $r_i = \sqrt{X_i^2 + Y_i^2}$ and the angle $\alpha_i = \arctan(Y_i/X_i)$. The orthoradial displacement is enforced to be globally null, which remains a reasonable assumption as long as no tear opens up in the interlayer (a phenomenon, local at first, that propagates and breaks the axisymmetry of the deformation even at a global scale). Radial and axial displacements only depend on the radial distance r between the impact location and the nodal position. The FE mesh center is localized at the impact initial position, *i.e.*, the center of the laminated glass plate, with a 200 µm uncertainty.

The transformation from cartesian to cylindrical coordinates is written as a rotation matrix [**P**], combining the smaller transfer matrices \mathbf{P}_i for each node *i*

$$\mathbf{P}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha_{i} & -\sin \alpha_{i} & 0\\ \sin \alpha_{i} & \cos \alpha_{i} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

335 such as

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{x}}(r_i) \tag{5}$$

The axisymmetric description will be enforced via the introduction of 1Dlinear shape functions (Fig 5), limiting the displacement measurement along r and Z directions. The 2D-mesh current nodal position (represented by circles) are represented on the new shape functions.

In addition, rigid modes constraining all the nodes in respectively X, Yand Z directions, are added to possibly compensate the experimental Z-axis location error during the axisymmetric S-DIC procedure. In other words, the addition of those three additional modes allows to shift and correct the symmetry axis location.



The 1D shape functions are noted $q_i(r)$ and filled in a second transfer



Fig 5: 1D shape functions $q_i(r)$ insuring displacement continuity, in straight lines. Interpolated T3-nodes (Fig 4), as circles on the new shape functions. For better readability the plot illustrates 15 mm-long elements, while 4 mm elements were actually used in the DIC measurements.

 $_{346}$ matrix $[\mathbf{Q}]$ such as the new Hessian and right-hand terms become

$$[\mathbf{H}]^{ax} = ([\mathbf{P}][\mathbf{Q}])^{\top} \cdot \left(\sum_{c=1}^{N_c} [\mathbf{H}]^c\right) \cdot [\mathbf{P}][\mathbf{Q}]$$

$$\{\mathbf{b}\}^{ax} = ([\mathbf{P}][\mathbf{Q}])^{\top} \cdot \left(\sum_{c=1}^{N_c} \{\mathbf{b}\}^c\right)$$
(6)

347 and the minimization problem

$$[\mathbf{H}]^{ax} \cdot \{\delta \mathbf{U}\}^{ax} = \{\mathbf{b}\}^{ax} \tag{7}$$

The global 3D-displacement increment $\{\delta \mathbf{U}\}$ is updated via the two transfer matrices and the nodal axisymmetric displacement $\{\delta \mathbf{U}\}^{ax}$

$$\{\delta \mathbf{U}\} = [\mathbf{P}][\mathbf{Q}] \cdot \{\delta \mathbf{U}\}^{ax}$$
(8)

This newly introduced reduced problem is far better conditioned than the original S-DIC one (decreasing the degrees of freedom from 7098 to 84). Therefore no additional regularization is needed to perform axisymmetric 353 S-DIC.

4. Results and discussion

Global S-DIC and axisymmetric S-DIC have been performed on the 355 painted deformed surface of the laminated glass, during the first 3 millisec-356 onds following impact, or a total of 90 frames per camera. Results at different 357 times are shown in figures 6, 7 and 8, corresponding respectively to the 20^{th} , 358 50th and 80th frames. Both raw camera images, (a) left view and (b) right 359 view, are represented in gray levels. Then the left column corresponds to 360 the global S-DIC analysis and the right column to the axisymmetric S-DIC 361 analysis, with: (c)+(d) the measured residuals by the left-side camera (im-362 age (a)), (e)+(f) the measured residuals by the right-side camera (image (b)), 363 (g)+(h) the out-of-plane displacement field on the reference mesh and (i)+(j)364 the current deformed 3D surface. 365

A crushed glass zone, centered at the impact point appears as a bright white zone in Fig 6a-b. The formation of radial and orthoradial cracks could be observed during the whole experiment. First, radial cracks propagate from the impact point to the edge, so that fragment sizes increase with the distance from the center. Then orthoradial cracks appear, as can already be seen in Fig 6a-b. As time passes, the density of both radial and orthoradial cracks increases, leading to smaller and smaller fragments.

This growing crack number also increases the deformability of the fragmented laminated glass plate, whose glass shards are still connected by interlayer polymeric bridges that sustain large strains. The geometry of the deformed plate surface could at first be approximated by a rounded cone of increasing height. This information could also be read on the displacement fields (g-j) for the three figures 6, 7 and 8.

The sample shape evolution and fragment orientation induce some light reflection, with severe gray level changes on the captured images. Specular reflections are even observed in some images, (such as the white spot at the top of Fig 8a), making the analysis more and more difficult for the S-DIC software.

A brightness change, not being accounted for by the S-DIC procedure, is finally present in the residual field Fig 6(c-f). In particular, a contrast in the residual field across a radial boundary, may be interpreted as a localized bending along a radial crack, making some of them apparent although they could hardly be perceived in the raw images.

In addition, due to the change in brightness in the right-hand zone, very large (erroneous) displacements are obtained at some isolated nodes (see Fig 8i) because of the extreme violation of the gray level conservation assumption. This kind of displacement is however well filtered with the axisymmetric regularized displacement (Fig 8j).

In Fig 7(c-f) and even more in Fig 8(c-f) axisymmetric regularization gives rise to a larger rms residual, and yet the displacements are very precisely equal to the trustful part of the non-regularized S-DIC computation. Indeed, each local non-axisymmetric displacement is correctly accounted for in the axisymmetric regularized version, without altering the measurement.

The cracks are easier to identify on the residual fields (c-f) for advanced steps of the test as stretching and delamination of the PVB bridges increase. The separation between two fragments split by a crack may reach about one millimeter, and becomes very clearly visible on the DIC residuals as the pixel size is approximately 1 mm.

Accessing the final crack pattern by an examination of the post-fractured 404 sample in fragmentation experiment is not easy as many non-reversible phe-405 nomena occur: large stretching then tearing of the interlayer, delamination 406 between the PVB and the glass shards, comminution at the center of the plate 407 that loses smaller fragments sometimes crushed into glass dust. Therefore the 408 glass damage is hard to qualify, evolving throughout the whole test duration. 409 Three pictures have been taken at the end of this experiment (Fig 9). As can 410 be seen, the PVB layer has been torn and glass fragments are missing close to 411 the impact location. Farther along the radial direction, the laminated glass 412 retains a crater-like or cone-like shape at the end of the experiment which 413 emphasizes a residual irreversible deformation of the plate. The failure mode 414 encountered during this test can be assimilated to punching failure (Li et al. 415 2023) as the area under the impactor is very finely fragmented and the tear-416 ing occurred at 40 mm - very close - to the center of the plate where glass 417 fragments detached from the adhesive layer. Large fragments areas, located 418 at more than 100 mm from the impact, may still sustain secondary impacts 419 as the local delamination and PVB stretching has been very low. 420

Many cracks can be observed on these three images, thanks to a good spatial resolution, but the temporal damage qualification cannot be assessed via post-fractured imaging. In the following is proposed a live monitoring of the crack formation to complete the damage analysis.

⁴²⁵ Due to the global axisymmetry of the measured displacement field, it is



Fig 6: S-DIC measurement at t=0.63 ms (*i.e.*, iteration 20): (a)+(b) Stereo images. Corresponding residual fields at convergence (in gray levels): (c)+(e) S-DIC (d)+(f) Axisymmetric. The out-of-plane displacement field on initial mesh (mm): (g) S-DIC (h) Axisymmetric. Idem on deformed mesh (mm): (i) S-DIC (j) Axisymmetric.



Fig 7: S-DIC measurement at t=1.63 ms (*i.e.*, iteration 50): (a)+(b) Stereo images. Corresponding residual fields at convergence (in gray levels): (c)+(e) S-DIC (d)+(f) Axisymmetric. The out-of-plane displacement field on initial mesh (mm): (g) S-DIC (h) Axisymmetric. Idem on deformed mesh (mm): (i) S-DIC (j) Axisymmetric. 26



Fig 8: S-DIC measurement at t=2.63 ms (*i.e.*, iteration 80): (a)+(b) Stereo images. Corresponding residual fields at convergence (in gray levels): (c)+(e) S-DIC (d)+(f) Axisymmetric. The out-of-plane displacement field on initial mesh (mm): (g) S-DIC (h) Axisymmetric. Idem on deformed mesh (mm): (i) S-DIC (j) Axisymmetric.



Fig 9: Post-breakage sample observations performed just after the experiment: (a) sample is still set in the device. Flat positions: (b) Back side or monitored side view, (c) Front side or impacted side view.

possible to estimate the deformation of the non-speckled glass surface on 426 a semi circular mesh, then analyze the residuals between a reference non 427 fragmented image and the experimental deformed image in the Lagrangian 428 frame. Some residuals of the non-speckled part are represented on Fig 10. 429 The highest gray level value residuals correspond to cracks location in the 430 glass plies. It is possible to follow their length throughout the experiment. 431 First, on Fig 10a-b, radial cracks are growing from the impact location to-432 ward the sample edges. Intense radial stresses initiate a few first orthoradial 433 (circular) cracks, for example in Fig 10a, at coordinates (25, 75) and (-40, 434 50) millimeters. An area between 60 mm to 90 mm radius (Fig 10c-i), con-435 centrates many orthoradial cracks, and delimits the finer fragmented area at 436 the center of the plate from the larger fragmented zone at higher radius. This 437 circular boundary was highlighted in Nourry's thesis and drives the global 438 response of the laminated glass subjected to blunt impact (Nourry 2005). 439

Some limits can be mentioned in terms of crack measurement accuracy.



Fig 10: Residuals of the non-speckled area of the glass surface, projected on Z=0 mm plane. (a)-(b) t=0.40 ms. (c)-(d) t=0.63 ms. (e)-(f) t=1.13 ms. (g)-(h) t=1.63 ms. (i)-(j) t=2.63 ms. Left and right columns corresponds respectively to the left-side and right-side camera.

The first one is the crack duplication on the residual maps, caused by their 441 projected shadows on tissue paper. This effect can be illustrated by the 442 measured residual map of Fig 10c (same instant than Fig 6a) where cracks 443 shadows can be seen. The effect might have been reduced using a darker 444 tissue paper as a uniform background. A second limitation is due to the 445 angular shift between the cameras and the device axis. This is enhanced by 446 the large stretching of the PVB links between glass fragments, and by the 447 widening cracks during the plate movement (see Fig 10j for instance). The 448 stack thickness is also a limitation, as a radial crack can propagate in the 449 first and second ply, and let a large imprint on the residuals. It can also be 450 noted that the 20 µs temporal uncertainty in stereo-vision has to be adjusted 451 to measure a synchronized crack formation, as a crack pattern difference is 452 measured between Fig 10a and b. 453

A rapid increase of the S-DIC residuals is observed between frame 1 and 454 10 (Fig 11). Theses images correspond to the apparition of the radial cracks 455 and the first orthoradial cracks. Then a slower growth of the residuals is 456 observed up to frame 80. This evolution corresponds to the continuing for-457 mation of cracks on the plate and the increasing out-of-plane displacement. 458 The larger contribution of the global residual ρ is attributed to the change in 459 brightness and contrast, but the increasing number of nodes being attributed 460 an obviously incorrect displacement becomes dominant past image number 461 80. Therefore, from this point and on, the axisymmetric S-DIC global resid-462 uals grow more slowly and actually become smaller than the regular S-DIC 463 ones. 464

⁴⁶⁵ The out-of-plane displacement field is globally well measured by S-DIC,



Fig 11: The global residual ρ evolution is represented as a function of image number.

although some nodes do not converge properly on the displacement fields (g)+(i) of Fig 7 and 8. Those were located at a small distance from the center of the mesh and in the very intense brightness change zones. Those erroneous measurements are cleaned up in the axisymmetric S-DIC measurement (h)+(j).

Moreover, an axisymmetric interpretation of the displacement fields is 471 represented on Fig 12, interpolating the S-DIC obtained fields on radial shape 472 functions (legend '**q**l'). The out-of-plane displacement is therefore filtered, 473 and a good radial approximation of the displacement can be obtained reduc-474 ing the influence of the ill-behaved measurement points. The extrapolated 475 displacement also matches the telemeter curve (black straight line), that can 476 be interpreted as the displacement along the axis (r = 0), and fits the ax-477 isymmetric S-DIC results (legend 'ax'). The telemeter is used to map the 478 deformation of the crushed zone where S-DIC cannot measure the kinematic 479 fields due to very large discontinuities (Meyland et al. 2023). For large radius 480



Fig 12: Out-of-plane displacement (mm) for some radius ('R', in mm) versus time (ms). Axisymmetric ('ax') and global ('gl') S-DIC are compared with the telemeter measurement.

and time, the global and axisymmetric results are moving away from each
other showing that the axisymmetric code is more reliable than the initial
global S-DIC procedure.

During the impact experiment, two areas can be distinguished: the first 484 one right under the impactor head that which is finely fragmented, subjected 485 to intense shear stress, quickly prone to tearing, and thus not able to dissi-486 pate a large amount of impact energy. The second area, starting from about 487 70 mm from the plate center, consists of glass fragments – connected via 488 polymeric bridging – where the interlayer is still able to sustain large strains 489 and delamination from the glass without introducing a significant bending of 490 the glass plies and shearing of the interlayer. Thanks to the S-DIC measure-491 ments the strain rate was estimated to 5 to 10 s^{-1} that matches the order of 492 magnitude of the membrane behavior – allowing delamination and stretching 493

- as introduced by Hooper (Hooper 2011). This membrane behavior is also 494 validated by authors as the out-of-plane displacement exceeds the laminated 495 glass thickness during the test (Angelides et al. 2019). The energy dissipa-496 tion that occurs during an impact (such as the *cannon* experiment) through 497 delamination and stretching of a polymer layer can therefore be estimated 498 using the through-crack tensile (TCT) test, widely documented in the litera-499 ture (Seshadri et al. 2002; Elzière 2016; Del Linz et al. 2017; Samieian et al. 500 2018; Fourton et al. 2020; Ma et al. 2022). This tensile test is performed on 501 two pieces of laminated glass connected by a PVB ligament whose delami-502 nation front and stretching evolve. It has been pushed to cover a very large 503 range of velocities from quasi-static regimes up to 10 m/s (Del Linz et al. 504 2017), matching the *cannon* velocity range. Therefore, the link between the 505 interlayer stretching and its delamination from the glass shards is already 506 well documented. Thanks to the kinematic field and the cracking pattern 507 measurements presented in this article, it is possible to consider a model us-508 ing the measured data with the TCT to describe the correct behavior of a 509 fragmented laminated glass plate under a hard-body blunt impact during a 510 cannon test. Those data are of interest to the experimenter to determine the 511 behavior of different areas of the laminate, rather than relying only on either 512 a local measurement via strain gauges or measurements on the impactor, 513 that do not give the whole plate kinematics. Each laminated glass fragment 514 has its own specific movement during an impact that can be interpreted as 515 a local TCT test. In a nutshell, these measurements, coupled with a correct 516 corresponding model of the fragmented laminated glass plate, should allow 517 the evaluation of the local dissipated impact energy at the glass fragments 518

edges and, after summation over all cracks, the evaluation of the total energy absorbed by the broken laminated glass plate.

521 5. Conclusion

This paper studied a hard-body impact on a laminated glass using stereodigital image correlation with high-speed cameras. During the fragmentation test, S-DIC analyses are carried out to extract the 3D shape variation and the corresponding kinematic information of the laminated glass.

The S-DIC algorithm demonstrates its versatility in obtaining 3D shape 526 and field measurements. Using an FE-based mesh as a numerical model, 527 it is possible to update and deform the virtual model to seamlessly fit the 528 3D shape variation, which allows the complex 3D kinematics to be resolved 529 even in the late stage of the impactor stroke (as in the case of the presented 530 fragmentation test on laminated glass). The newly developed axisymmet-531 ric description of the displacement field in S-DIC, which can be seen as a 532 relevant geometric regularization devoid of other material-based mechanical 533 assumptions, provides a remarkable robustness to the analysis, with very 534 good convergence properties. The obtained performances are much greater 535 with the help of this regularization which avoids the divergence of some nodes 536 of the mesh and allows the crack formation to be measured on the deformed 537 non-speckled part of the laminated glass. 538

In the context of laminated glass under impact monitoring fragmentation and large strain regime, S-DIC using a global formulation, is a powerful measurement technique, allowing access to the fragmented plate-deformed surface and crack density over the region of interest. However, many hy⁵⁴³ potheses were set to finally measure satisfying kinematic fields, countering ⁵⁴⁴ the ill-posed DIC problem (that can add displacement error). The very ex-⁵⁴⁵ treme experimental conditions: ephemerous flashes of light, varying light ⁵⁴⁶ intensity, cracks, and discontinuities formation, finite strain regimes. all con-⁵⁴⁷ tribute to make this test a very challenging one for S-DIC. Nevertheless, the ⁵⁴⁸ proposed axisymmetric regularization allowed to overcome many of those ⁵⁴⁹ obstacles.

From the present analysis, some directions of progress can be identified for future developments:

- Adding contrast and brightness correction should make the analysis
 more robust
- Considering the image series as a whole for a suited spatio-temporal
 analysis may further extend the domain of applicability of S-DIC
- Model reduction techniques, coupled to the latter spatio-temporal anal ysis may further accelerate the computations, and reduce the number
 of global kinematic unknowns.

Those directions are currently being investigated, and open promising perspectives.

The data treatment presented here gives access to the shape of the laminated glass during the impact, yielding the geometrical link between the energy dissipation close to the cracks (energy per delaminated area that can be measured on TCT experiments), the crack pattern evolution over the whole plate, and the global energy effectively dissipated (the diminution of kinetic energy lost by the impactor). This link will be exploited to build a model that computes the energy that the laminated glass can absorb as a
function of material parameters only, such as the glass-interlayer adherence or
its large-deformation stress-strain behavior to be presented in a forthcoming
publication.

⁵⁷¹ Appendix A. Calibration of the Stereo Vision Camera System

Finding the correspondence between the 3D coordinates of known points in the specimen and their projected 2D coordinates in retinal images captured by stereo cameras (2 cameras or more) is crucial for accurate 3D shape reconstruction. This is performed in a so-called 'calibration stage', which consists in identifying the projection matrix (*i.e.*, [**II**]) to bridge the 3D coordinates of points, $(X, Y, Z, 1)^{\top}$ in the lab frame, to their 2D projection, $(x, y, 1)^{\top}$ in the camera image plane.

$$\begin{pmatrix} sx \\ sy \\ s \end{pmatrix} = [\mathbf{\Pi}]^{j} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = [\mathbf{K}][\mathbf{RT}] \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(A.1)

The projection matrix, $[\Pi]$, can be decomposed into an intrinsic matrix, $[\mathbf{K}]$ — which characterizes the intrinsic parameters of camera (optical center, focal distance and skewness) — and an extrinsic projection matrix, $[\mathbf{RT}]$, which gives the relative position of the camera and 3D object (translation and rotation). *s* is a scale factor.

The projection matrix $[\Pi]$ of each camera is commonly measured via a calibration object (Besnard 2010; Dufour et al. 2015b; Berny 2020). The technique consists in monitoring this well-known object, whose geometry and size have been independently measured and stored for instance as a 3D-mesh
file, expressed with the world coordinates of the problem. Both, intrinsic
and extrinsic matrices, are identified thanks to the presented 'self-calibration'
procedure.

A classical Point-to-Point initialization using natural features (*e.g.*, edge and corners), provides an initial guess of the projection matrix. Then, the projection matrices are further refined in the Global CAD/FE-based framework as proposed by (Dufour et al. 2015a; Shao et al. 2016; Berny 2020). Then comparing the gray level monitored by each camera at predefined integration points location, the second phase of calibration provides a refined determination of the projection matrices (Berny 2020; Beaubier et al. 2012).

In this study, the calibration target is a dihedral ("open-book") which has two square faces of 10 cm (\pm 10 µm) side oriented at 130° (Besnard 2010) (see Fig A.13b-e). An external support is printed to position the object at the center of the circular window. The 3D printer accuracy is estimated at 200 µm.

An FE mesh (Fig A.13a) is used throughout this paper as the virtual model of the calibration target, where n = 1, ..., N key points are selected in both the image (Fig A.13b-c) and virtual model (Fig A.13a) denoted as \mathbf{x}_n and \mathbf{X}_n respectively. The initialization of projection matrix, consists in minimizing the sum of quadratic differences

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\mathbf{x}_n - [\mathbf{\Pi}] \mathbf{X}_n \right)^2$$
(A.2)

with respect to Π . Initialization is validated by projecting the 3D mesh over



Fig A.13: Point-to-Point initialization:(a) Virtual FE model of calibration target; selected key points in FE model are plotted as red circles (b)-(c) Hand-selected key points in images for cameras 1 and 2 (d)-(e) Projected FE Mesh (plotted in blue) over the 2D image with the estimated projection matrices for cameras 1 and 2.

the 2D images for each camera (Fig A.13d-e.).

Finally, as the geometrical relationship between the target and the specimen is well known, the surface of the laminated glass to be observed is easily calibrated (see Fig 3)

⁶¹⁴ Appendix B. Global S-DIC method

Digital Image Correlation most often relies on the assumption of gray level conservation between a reference image f and a deformed image g, so that for each pixel **x**

$$f(\mathbf{x}, t = 0) = g(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}), t)$$
(B.1)

where t is the time and \mathbf{u} the pixel displacement. However, this equation is never strictly obeyed due to the presence of acquisition noise, dependence on the camera performances, or subpixel gray-level interpolation error and hence the displacement field is computed from the minimization of the quadratic difference between the two members of Eq. (B.1).

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{u}) = \|f(.) - g(.+\mathbf{u})\|^2 \tag{B.2}$$

calculated on all pixels of the region of interest (ROI).

The philosophy is similar for global S-DIC with multiple view system, 624 but minimization is performed for the 3D displacements and considering all 625 the N_c cameras at once (Hild and Roux 2012; Dufour et al. 2015b; Berny 626 2020) (in our case $N_c = 2$). The minimization problem, described in equa-627 tion (2), finally consists of successive corrections of the displacement field 628 from a system assembled from the sum over all cameras of their contribution 629 to the global residual of global stereo-Digital Image Correlation. It is worth 630 emphasizing that the measured displacement is directly the 3D nodal dis-631 placement field, minimizing the camera contribution (*i.e.*, residuals for each 632 camera) simultaneously. 633

The S-DIC measurements presented thereafter are also regularized by a Tikhonov regularization to solve this poorly conditioned problem (Tikhonov and Arsenin 1977). The minimization problem becomes

$$\left(\sum_{c=1}^{N_c} \left[\mathbf{H}\right]^c + \lambda[\mathbf{I}]\right) \cdot \{\delta \mathbf{U}\} = \sum_{c=1}^{N_c} \{\mathbf{b}\}^c + \lambda\left(\{\mathbf{U}_0\} - \{\mathbf{U}\}\right)$$
(B.3)

with [I] the identity matrix, U the current corrected displacement and U_0 the estimated displacement for this iteration. The weight λ associated to the Tikhonov regularization is chosen as

$$\lambda = 10^{-2} \cdot \lambda_{max} \tag{B.4}$$

with λ_{max} the largest eigenvalue of the S-DIC Hessian matrix $\sum_{c=1}^{N_c} [\mathbf{H}]^c$.

As a remark, the Tikhonov regularization is no longer used when the axisymmetric formulation (section 3) is chosen.

643 References

C. Alter, S. Kolling, and J. Schneider. An enhanced non-local failure criterion
for laminated glass under low velocity impact. International Journal of *Impact Engineering*, 109:342–353, 2017. ISSN 0734-743X. DOI: 10.1016/
j.ijimpeng.2017.07.014.

S.C. Angelides, J.P. Talbot, and M. Overend. The effects of high strainrate and in-plane restraint on quasi-statically loaded laminated glass: a
theoretical study with applications to blast enhancement. *Glass Structures & Engineering*, 4(3):403-420, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/s40940-019-00107-4.

- S.C. Angelides, J.P. Talbot, and M. Overend. High strain-rate effects from
 blast loads on laminated glass: An experimental investigation of the postfracture bending moment capacity based on time-temperature mapping of
 interlayer yield stress. Construction and Building Materials, 273:121658,
 2021. ISSN 0950-0618. DOI: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.121658.
- S.C. Angelides, J.P. Talbot, and M. Overend. The influence of fracture
 pattern on the residual resistance of laminated glass at high strainrates: an experimental investigation of the post-fracture bending moment
 capacity based on time-temperature mapping of interlayer yield stress. *Glass Structures & Engineering*, 7(4):549–568, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/
 \$40940-022-00168-y.

B. Beaubier, K. Lavernhe Taillard, G. Besnard, S. Lavernhe, F. Hild, and
S. Roux. CAD-based Calibration of a 3D DIC System. In SEM XII *International Congress & Exposition on Experimental and Applied Me-*

chanics, United States, 2012. URL https://hal.archives-ouvertes.
 fr/hal-00727879.

M. Berny. High-temperature tests for ceramic matrix composites : from
full-field regularised measurements to thermomechanical parameter identification. Theses, Université Paris-Saclay, 2020. URL https://tel.
archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-03204518.

G. Besnard. Caractérisation et quantification de surfaces par stéréocorrélation pour des essais mécaniques du quasi statique à la dynamique
ultra-rapide. Theses, École normale supérieure de Cachan, 2010. URL
https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00538904.

M. Chapelier, R. Bouclier, and J.-C. Passieux. Free-form deformation digital image correlation (FFD-DIC): A non-invasive spline regularization
for arbitrary finite element measurements. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 384:113992, 2021. ISSN 0045-7825. DOI:
10.1016/j.cma.2021.113992.

S. Chen, M. Zang, D. Wang, Z. Zheng, and C. Zhao. Finite element modelling
of impact damage in polyvinyl butyral laminated glass. *Composite Struc*-*tures*, 138:1 - 11, 2016. ISSN 0263-8223. DOI: 10.1016/j.compstruct.
2015.11.042.

G. Colantonio, M. Chapelier, R. Bouclier, J.-C. Passieux, and E. Marenic.
Non-invasive multilevel geometric regularization of mesh-based 3D shape
measurement. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 121(9):1877-1897, May 2020. DOI: 10.1002/nme.6291.

- R. Decourcelle. Comportement mécanique des vitrages feuilletés sous chargements statiques et dynamiques. Thesis, Université de Rennes 1, 2011. URL
 http://www.theses.fr/2011REN1S104.
- P. Del Linz, P.A. Hooper, H. Arora, Y. Wang, D. Smith, B.R.K. Blackman,
 and J.P. Dear. Delamination properties of laminated glass windows subject
 to blast loading. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 105:39–53,
 2017. ISSN 0734-743X. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2016.05.015. Design
 and Analysis of Protective Structures 2015.
- J. Dufour, B. Beaubier, F. Hild, and S. Roux. CAD-based Displacement Measurements with Stereo-DIC. *Experimental Mechanics*, 55(9):1657–1668, 2015a. DOI: 10.1007/s11340-015-0065-6.
- J. Dufour, F. Hild, and S. Roux. Shape, displacement and mechanical
 properties from isogeometric multiview stereocorrelation. *The Journal*of Strain Analysis for Engineering Design, 50(7):470-487, 2015b. DOI:
 10.1177/0309324715592530.
- P. Elzière. Laminated glass : dynamic rupture of adhesion. Thesis, Université
 Pierre et Marie Curie Paris VI, September 2016. URL https://hal.
 archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01381142.
- ⁷⁰⁷ European Norm. NF EN 356. Glass in building Safety glazing Testing
 ⁷⁰⁸ and classification of resistance to manual attack, September 2000.
- ⁷⁰⁹ European Norm. NF EN 12600. Glass in building Pendulum impact –
 ⁷¹⁰ Impact test method and classification for flat glasss, September 2003.

- F.A.O. Fernandes, R.J. Alves de Sousa, and M. Ptak. A damage model for
 the simulation of crack initiation and propagation in automotive windshield
 laminated glass structures. *International Journal of Crashworthiness*, 26
 (4):456-464, 2021. DOI: 10.1080/13588265.2020.1727077.
- P. Fourton, K. Piroird, M. Ciccotti, and E. Barthel. Adhesion rupture in laminated glass: influence of adhesion on the energy dissipation
 mechanisms. *Glass Structures & Engineering*, 5:397-410, 2020. DOI:
 10.1007/s40940-020-00136-4.
- K. Genovese and D. Sorgente. A morphing-based scheme for large deformation analysis with stereo-DIC. Optics and Lasers in Engineering, 104:159–
 172, 2018. ISSN 0143-8166. DOI: 10.1016/j.optlaseng.2017.06.020.
- P. Hála, A. Zemanová, J. Zeman, and M. Šejnoha. Numerical study on failure
 of laminated glass subjected to low-velocity impact. *Glass Structures & Engineering*, 8(1):99–117, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s40940-022-00210-z.
- F. Hild and S. Roux. Digital image correlation. In Optical Methods for
 Solid Mechanics. A Full-Field Approach, 183-228. P. Rastogi and E. Hack,
 Wiley-VCH, Weinheim (Germany), 2012. ISBN 978-3-527-41111-5.
- P. Hooper. Blast performance of silicone-bonded laminated glass. Theses,
 Imperial College London, 2011. URL https://doi.org/10.25560/6861.
- P.A. Hooper, R.A.M. Sukhram, B.R.K. Blackman, and J.P. Dear. On
 the blast resistance of laminated glass. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 49(6):899–918, 2012. ISSN 0020-7683. DOI: 10.1016/j.
- ⁷³³ ijsolstr.2011.12.008.

- B. Huang, W. Hu, K. Xu, X. Guan, and W. Lu. Experimental and numerical
 investigation on glass panel subjected to pendulum impact. International
 Journal of Impact Engineering, 173:104457, 2023. ISSN 0734-743X. DOI:
 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2022.104457.
- X. Lai, L. Liu, S. Li, M. Zeleke, Q. Liu, and Z. Wang. A non-ordinary
 state-based peridynamics modeling of fractures in quasi-brittle materials. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 111:130 146, 2018. ISSN 0734-743X. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2017.08.008.
- H. Leclerc, J. Neggers, F. Mathieu, F. Hild, and S. Roux. Correli 3.0,
 [IDDN.FR.001.520008.000.S.P.2015.000.31500]. Paris (France), 2015.
- D. Li, H. Zhang, X. Lei, D. Wei, and D. Li. Three-stage breakage model for
 laminated glass plate under low-velocity impact. *Ceramics International*,
 49(2):2648-2662, 2023. ISSN 0272-8842. DOI: 10.1016/j.ceramint.
 2022.09.245.
- Y. Li, D. Xiong, L. Wang, B. Feng, and J. Xu. Dynamic mechanical behavior
 and pedestrian safety characteristics of toughened laminated windshield. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 163:740 751, 2019. ISSN 1359-8368.
 DOI: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2019.01.054.
- Q. Ma, L. Wu, and D. Huang. An extended peridynamic model for dynamic fracture of laminated glass considering interfacial debonding. Composite Structures, 290:115552, 2022. ISSN 0263-8223. DOI: 10.1016/j.
 compstruct.2022.115552.

- ⁷⁵⁶ M. Martìn, X. Centelles, A. Solé, C. Barreneche, A.I. Fernández, and L.F.
- ⁷⁵⁷ Cabeza. Polymeric interlayer materials for laminated glass: A review.
- ⁷⁵⁸ Construction and Building Materials, 230:116897, 2020. ISSN 0950-0618.

⁷⁵⁹ DOI: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.116897.

- M.J. Meyland, R.N.W. Eriksen, and J.H. Nielsen. A modified split-hopkinson
 pressure bar setup enabling stereo digital image correlation measurements
 for flexural testing. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 173:
 104480, 2023. ISSN 0734-743X. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2022.104480.
- I. Mohagheghian, Y. Wang, L. Jiang, X. Zhang, X. Guo, Y. Yan, A.J. Kinloch, and J.P. Dear. Quasi-static bending and low velocity impact performance of monolithic and laminated glass windows employing chemically
 strengthened glass. *European Journal of Mechanics A/Solids*, 63:165–186,
 2017. ISSN 0997-7538. DOI: 10.1016/j.euromechsol.2017.01.006.
- I. Mohagheghian, M.N. Charalambides, Y. Wang, L. Jiang, X. Zhang, Y. Yan,
 A.J. Kinloch, and J.P. Dear. Effect of the polymer interlayer on the highvelocity soft impact response of laminated glass plates. *International Jour- nal of Impact Engineering*, 120:150 170, 2018. ISSN 0734-743X. DOI:
 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2018.06.002.
- C. Morison. The resistance of laminated glass to blast pressure loading and the
 coefficients for single degree of freedom analysis of laminated glass. Theses,
 Cranfield University, 2007. URL https://dspace.lib.cranfield.ac.
 uk/handle/1826/4651.
- A.A. Nassr, T. Yagi, T. Maruyama, and G. Hayashi. Experimental investiga-

- tion on damage and wave propagation of pvb laminated glazing structures
 under impact loading. *Structures*, 29:966–978, 2021. ISSN 2352-0124. DOI:
 10.1016/j.istruc.2020.12.003.
- E. Nourry. Laminated glass behaviour under perforating impact. Thesis, Arts
 et Métiers ParisTech, 2005. URL https://pastel.archives-ouvertes.
 fr/pastel-00001608.
- J. Pelfrene, J. Kuntsche, S. Van Dam, W. Van Paepegem, and J. Schneider. Critical assessment of the post-breakage performance of blast loaded
 laminated glazing: Experiments and simulations. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 88:61-71, 2016. ISSN 0734-743X. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2015.09.008.
- J. Pierré, J.-C. Passieux, and J. Périé. Finite Element Stereo Digital Im age Correlation: Framework and Mechanical Regularization. *Experimental Mechanics*, 57:443-456, 2017. DOI: 10.1007/s11340-016-0246-y.
- T. Pyttel, H. Liebertz, and J. Cai. Failure criterion for laminated glass
 under impact loading and its application in finite element simulation. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 38(4):252 263, 2011. ISSN
 0734-743X. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2010.10.035.
- M.A. Samieian, D. Cormie, D. Smith, W. Wholey, B.R.K. Blackman, J.P.
 Dear, and P.A. Hooper. Temperature effects on laminated glass at high
 rate. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 111:177-186, 2018.
 ISSN 0734-743X. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2017.09.001.

- M.A. Samieian, D. Cormie, D. Smith, W. Wholey, B.R.K. Blackman, J.P.
 Dear, and P.A. Hooper. On the bonding between glass and pvb in laminated glass. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 214:504 519, 2019. ISSN 0013-7944. DOI: 10.1016/j.engfracmech.2019.04.006.
- M. Schuster, J. Schneider, and T.A. Nguyen. Investigations on the execution and evaluation of the pummel test for polyvinyl butyral based
 interlayers. *Glass Structures & Engineering*, 5(3):371–396, 2020. DOI:
 10.1007/s40940-020-00120-y.
- M. Seshadri, S.J. Bennison, A. Jagota, and S. Saigal. Mechanical response
 of cracked laminated plates. *Acta Materialia*, 50(18):4477 4490, 2002.
 ISSN 1359-6454. DOI: 10.1016/S1359-6454(02)00255-0.
- M. Shahriari and H. Saeidi Googarchin. Prediction of vehicle impact speed
 based on the post-cracking behavior of automotive pvb laminated glass:
 Analytical modeling and numerical cohesive zone modeling. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 240:107352, 2020. ISSN 0013-7944. DOI: 10.1016/
 j.engfracmech.2020.107352.
- X. Shao, X. Dai, Z. Chen, Y. Dai, S. Dong, and X. He. Calibration of stereodigital image correlation for deformation measurement of large engineering
 components. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 27(12):125010, 2016.
 DOI: 10.1088/0957-0233/27/12/125010.
- M.A. Sutton, J. Orteu, and H. Schreier. Image Correlation for Shape, Mo tion and Deformation Measurements: Basic Concepts, Theory and Appli-

- cations. Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated, 1st edition, 2009.
 ISBN 0387787461.
- A. Tikhonov and V.Y. Arsenin. Solutions of ill-posed problems. J. Wiley,
 1977.
- X. Wang, J. Yang, W.T.A. Chong, P. Qiao, S. Peng, and X. Huang. Postfracture performance of laminated glass panels under consecutive hard
 body impacts. *Composite Structures*, 254:112777, 2020. ISSN 0263-8223.
 DOI: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2020.112777.
- X. Wang, X. Huang, J. Yang, X. Hou, Y. Zhu, and D. Xie. Experimental and analytical study on the pre-crack impact response of thick multi-layered laminated glass under hard body impact. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, 206:106613, 2021. ISSN 0020-7403. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijmecsci.2021.106613.
- G. Yu, Y. Zheng, B. Feng, B. Liu, K. Meng, X. Yang, H. Chen, and J. Xu.
 Computation modeling of laminated crack glass windshields subjected to
 headform impact. *Computers & Structures*, 193:139 154, 2017. ISSN 0045-7949. DOI: 10.1016/j.compstruc.2017.08.011.